Initial equality impact assessment screening form

This form is an equality screening process to determine the relevance of equality to an activity, and a decision whether or not a full EIA would be appropriate or proportionate.

Directorate:	People
Service Area:	Contracts, Commissioning and Brokerage
Activity being screened:	Updates to the current Disabled Facilities Grant Regulatory Reform Order Policies
Officer(s) carrying out the screening:	Lisa Holdsworth, Elaine Taylor, Sukhdev Dosanjh, Christine Thompson, Helen Watson, Geraldine Earley
What are you proposing to do?	Update the existing DFG and Regulatory Reform Order (RRO) Policies
Why are you proposing this? What are the desired outcomes?	To increase flexibility and maximise the use of the Disabled Facilities Grant
Does the activity involve a significant commitment or removal of resources? Please give details	There is an annual allocation of dedicated funding for Disabled Facilities Grants included within the Better Care Fund. Since 2017 this annual allocation of funding has increased, and a review of the existing DFG and Regulatory Reform Order (RRO) Policies is required to ensure that the use of the grant is maximised.

Is there likely to be an adverse impact on people with any of the following protected characteristics as defined by the Equality Act 2010, or any other socially excluded groups?

As part of this assessment, please consider the following questions:

- To what extent is this service used by particular groups of people with protected characteristics?
- Does the activity relate to functions that previous consultation has identified as important?
- Do different groups have different needs or experiences in the area the activity relates to?

If for any characteristic it is considered that there is likely to be a significant adverse impact or you have ticked 'Don't know/no info available', then a full EIA should be carried out where this is proportionate.

Protected characteristic	Yes	Νο	Don't know/ Info not available
Age		х	
Disability		х	
Sex (gender)		х	

Race		x				
Sexual Orientation		х				
Religion or belief		х				
Gender reassignment		х				
Pregnancy or maternity		х				
Marriage or civil partnership		х				
Other						
Carer (unpaid family or friend)		х				
Low Income		x				
Rural Location		х				
Does the activity relate to an a	rea where		ated po	licies will cover all areas c	of the	
there are known inequalities/		Borough equally irrespective of known inequalities				
impacts (e.g. disabled people's		etc.				
public transport)? Please give of						
Will the activity have a signific		The activ	vity will	not have a significant eff	ect on how	
how other organisations opera		otheror	ganisati	ons operate. However, th	ere is the	
partners, funding criteria, etc.)				y broadening the Council'		
these organisations support pe	-			uals with adaptations to th		
protected characteristics? Plea	se explain			reduce or delay the need		
why you have reached this con	clusion.	services	commi	ssioned from Adult Social	Care.	
Decision (Please EIA not relev	ant or	х	Conti	nue to full EIA:		
tick one option) proportionate	e:					
Reason for Decision		A full EIA is not required as the suggested				
		amendments to the existing DFG and RRO Policies				
		will not have any adverse effects on the above				
		protected characteristics.				
		The suggested updates seek to broaden access to				
		support to eligible individuals, including:				
		widening the support that can accessed via a DFG;				
		waiving the existing means test in some instances				
		to enable more equitable access to the same form				
			of provision, eg stairlifts and also increasing the			
		timeliness in which a DFG can be processed; raising				
		the recovery threshold iro DFG funding. These				
		changes will have a positive impact for all recipients and promote their independence.				
			note th	en muependence.		
		Feedback received during the consultation process				
		from 25.10.22 – 28.11.22 identified positive				
		impacts from the proposals made, although it was				
		queried what would happen if there was				
		insufficient funding to implement all the proposals?				
		This is not an issue at present, as historically there				
		has been a growing cumulative underspend in the				
		DFG budget with an average carry forward amount				
		of £1,631,811 over the last 4 years and DFG funds can only be spent on items identified in the				
		DFG/RRO policies. It is possible that a reduction in				
		funding levels in the future could impact the range				
		of support that could be offered. This will be kept				

	for any potential negative impacts and, if required, will undertake a full EIA.
Signed (Assistant Director)	Jocelyn Identoron
Date	2 December 2022